1 2 3	BRYAN CAVE LLP  C. Scott Greene, California Bar No. 277445 Sean D. Muntz, California Bar No. 223549 John C. Hedger, California Bar No. 230814 333 Market Street, 25 <sup>th</sup> Floor				
4	San Francisco, CA 94105 Telephone: (415) 675-3400				
5	Facsimile: (415) 675-3434 Email: scott.greene@bryancave.com				
6	sean.muntz@bryancave.com hedgerj@bryancave.com				
7	Attorneys for Defendants				
8	JPMORGAN CHASE BANK, N.A. (erroneously named as Chase Bank); and QUALITY LOAN SERVICE CORP., INC.				
9	LINUTED OF ATEC D	ICTRICT COURT			
10	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA				
12					
13	JOSEPH O. OIYEMHONLAN, an individual and MARTHA OIYEMHONLAN, an individual,	Case No. 3-11-CV-06622 EDL			
14	Plaintiffs,	JOINT STIPULATION TO MODIFY			
15	VS.	PRIOR ORDER ON STAY OF PROCEEDINGS FOR SIXTY DAYS AND PROPOSED ORDER			
16	JP MORGAN MORTGAGE ACQUISITION CORP., business entity unknown; QUALITY				
17	LOAN SERVICE CORP., business entity				
18	unknown; and DOES 1 through 100,	Judge: Magistrate Elizabeth D. LaPorte			
19	Defendants.	Date Action Filed: November 18, 2011 Date Action Removed: December 22, 2011			
20					
21					
22					
23					
24					
25					
26					
27					
28	1				

**STIPULATION** 

Plaintiffs Joseph and Martha Oiyemhonlan ("Plaintiffs") and Defendants JP MORGAN

27

to the parties or to the Court;

1

2

3	CHASE BANK, N.A, ("Chase") and QUALITY LOAN SERVICE CORP., INC. (collectively			
4	"Defendants") by and through their respective counsel, STIPULATE and AGREE as follows:			
5	WHEREAS Plaintiffs filed a complaint in the Superior Court of the County of Alameda			
6	on November 18, 2011;			
7	WHEREAS, on November 22, 2011 in the Superior Court of the County of Alameda,			
8	Plaintiffs obtained an ex parte temporary restraining order ("TRO") enjoining the Trustee's sale of			
9	the real property at 27535 Orlando Avenue in Hayward, California (the "Property");			
10	WHEREAS Defendants removed the action to this Court pursuant to the provisions of 28			
11	U.S.C.section 1441(b) on December 22, 2011;			
12	WHEREAS Defendants filed a Motion to Dismiss Plaintiffs' Complaint on December 29,			
13	2011;			
14	WHEREAS the parties previously stipulated to stay all proceedings in this matter until			
15	March 19, 2012, including hearings, briefings, appearances and any other deadlines imposed by			
16	law or the Court, pending a determination by Defendant Chase of Plaintiffs' suitability for loan			
17	modification, which is economically and judicially efficient;			
18	WHEREAS Chase has evaluated Plaintiffs for a loan modification and offered a loan			
19	modification to Plaintiffs that has yet to be accepted;			
20	WHEREAS the hearing on Defendants' Motion to Dismiss is set for April 24, 2012;			
21	WHEREAS the Case Management Conference is presently scheduled for April 24, 2012.			
22	WHEREAS the parties stipulate and agree that Plaintiffs' time to file an Opposition to			
23	Defendants' Motion to Dismiss is extended to April 2, 2012;			
24	WHEREAS the parties further stipulate and agree that Defendants' time to file a reply in			
25	support of their Motion to Dismiss will be extended to April 9, 2012;			
26	WHEREAS the parties further stipulate and agree that the extensions of time related to			

filings requested herein are not requested for purposes of delay and will not result in any prejudice

1	IT IS THEREFORE STIPULATED AND AGREED by Plaintiffs and Defendants, by				
2	and through t	and through their respective counsel, and the Court is respectfully requested to amend its prior			
3	order so that:	:			
4	1.	The deadline for Plaintiffs to file t	heir Opposition to the Motion to Dismiss shall be		
5		extended to April 2, 2012;			
6	2.	The deadline for Defendants to file their reply to Plaintiffs' Opposition to the			
7		Motion to Dismiss shall be extended to April 9, 2012;			
8	3.	The hearing on the motion to dismiss shall remain as set for April 24, 2012;			
9	4.	The case management conference shall remain as set for April 24, 2012;			
10	5.	The TRO obtained by Plaintiffs in	the Superior Court in the County of Alameda		
11		shall remain in effect until this Co	urt makes a determination on the TRO, or by		
12		further agreement by the parties.			
13	IT IS	IT IS SO STIPULATED.			
14					
15	Dated: Marc	ch 26, 2012	EDI & JOHNSON		
16		, DI	EDI & JOHNSON		
17					
18		Ву	7: /s/ Ginny Bedi Ginny Bedi		
19			EDI & JOHNSON LLP		
20			torneys for Plaintiffs SEPH and MARTHA OIYEMHONLAN		
21					
22	Dated: Marc	:h 26, 2012 <b>BF</b>	RYAN CAVE LLP		
23		n	u /a/ Jaha C. Hadaan		
24		Ву	John C. Hedger		
25			torneys for Defendant MORGAN CHASE BANK, N.A and QUALITY		
26			OAN SERVICE CORP., INC.		
27					
28					

## ATTESTATION PURSUANT TO GENERAL ORDER 45

I, John C. Hedger, attest that concurrence in the filing of this document has been obtained from each of the signatories. I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States of America that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed on March 26, 2012, at San Francisco, California.

\_\_\_\_\_\_/s/\_John C. Hedger

John C. Hedger

1		<del>TPROPOSEDI</del> ORDER	
2			
3	The Court, having reviewed the parties' Stipulation, hereby orders as follows:		
4	1.	The deadline for Plaintiffs to file their Opposition to Defendants' Motion to	
5		Dismiss is extended to April 2, 2012	
6	2.	The deadline for Defendants to file their Reply to Plaintiffs' Opposition to the	
7		Motion to Dismiss shall be extended to April 9, 2012;	
8	3.	The hearing on the motion to dismiss shall remain set for April 24, 2012;	
9	4.	The case management conference shall remain set for April 24, 2012.	
10	5.	The TRO shall remain in effect until further determination by this Court on the	
11		TRO if the hearing date is re-noticed by Plaintiffs or by further agreement of the	
12		parties;	
13	IT IS SO ORDERED.		
14			
15	Dated: Marc	By: Elijah? D. Lapote	
16		By: MAGISTRATE	
17		JUDGE OF THE U.S. DISTRICT COURT,	
18		NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			